		United S'	TATES DISTRI	ICT COURT	FILED	
			District of	Nebras	U.S. DISTRICT COURT Ska DISTRICT OF NERRASMY	
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			2010 JUL 27 PM 4: 32	
		v.	ORDE	R OF DETENTION		
		MICHAEL EUGENE WEST	Case Num	ber: 4:10MJ3039	OFFICE OF THE CLERK	
		Defendant 10 H G G 1 10 1	140/0 140/0 100/0			
dete		coordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 nof the defendant pending trial in this case.			ne rollowing facts require the	
Part I—Findings of Fact						
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describe				
		or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).				
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.					
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in					
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.					described in 18 II S C	
	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.					
	2 (0) The Court death of the Court (1)					
	(3)	(3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment				
_	(4)	for the offense described in finding (1).) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the				
Ш	safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.					
	Alternative Findings (A)					
X	(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense					
for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq.					t seq.	
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).					a distance will responsible account	
X	(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ass the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.					
	Alternative Findings (B)					
	(1)					
	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.				
		Part II—Writ	ten Statement of Reason	s for Detention		
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a prepon-						
derance of the evidence that						
		bisk of harm to	the Sublice	I IX Millan	dand	
		risk at Wight -	detintion h	earling want	Ma	
		Do-4 III	Dissations Dessations	D-4		
	The	defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorne	—Directions Regarding 1 ev General or his designated re		a corrections facility senarate	
to t	he ex	tent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving	sentences or being held in	custody pending appeal. The	defendant shall be afforded a	
rea:	reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance					
		ction with a court proceeding.	Shari achter aic acichaght f	o are omica sixies marshal loi	the burbose of an appearance	
		- -				
July 27, 2010				s/ Cheryl R. Zwart		
		Date	Signature of Judicial Officer			
Cheryl R. Zwart, U.S. Magistrate Judge					e	
			Name and Title of Judicial Officer			

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).